UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAWN WILLIAMS, et al.	CASE No. 4:21-cv-04285-HSG
Plaintiff(s) v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
ETHICON, et al. Defendant(s)	
Counsel report that they have met and conferred stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADF following ADR process:	
☐ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR	L.R. 5)
■ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
■ Early Settlement Conference with a Magistrate Judge (ADR L.R. 7)	
Private ADR (specify process and provided The parties have agreed to participate in pubeen completed, and will meet and conferm The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:	private mediation after meaningful discovery has
☐ the presumptive deadline (90 days from the	e date of the order referring the case to ADR)
other requested deadline: June 1, 2023 (i.e. a	after close of discove
Date: November 2, 2021	/s/ Lourdes De Armas
Date: November 2, 2021	Attorney for Plaintiff /s/ Joshua J. Wes Attorney for Defendant
☐ IT IS SO ORDERED. ☐ IT IS SO ORDERED WITH THE FOLLOW	WING MODIFICATIONS:
DATE: 11/3/2021	Haywood S. July. U.S. DISTRICT/MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Important! E-file this form in ECF using the appropriate event among these choices: "Stipulation & Proposed Order Selecting Mediation" or "Stipulation & Proposed Order Selecting ENE" or "Stipulation & Proposed Order Selecting Early Settlement Conference with a Magistrate Judge" or "Stipulation & Proposed Order Selecting Private ADR."